

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

The second secon				
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/776,393	02/11/2004	Timothy Dale Van Tassel	03-1201-US-CIP	3922
7590 07/03/2007 Michael A. Mochinski Suite 514			EXAMINER	
			PAUL, DISLER	
3300 Bass Lake Road Brooklyn Center, MN 55429			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2615	
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/03/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/776,393	VAN TASSEL, TIMOTHY DALE		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Disler Paul	2615		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1)☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on  2a)☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)☒ This  3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro			
Disposition of Claims	•			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Settion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>				
Attachment(s)				
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)         Paper No(s)/Mail Date     </li> </ol>	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

Art Unit: 2615

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Double Patenting**

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-2,3-4,6-9 and 11,12,14,16,19 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-2,4-5,6-7,10-11 and 12,15-17,21 respectively of copending Application No. (10757833). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because even though tassel (393) fail to disclose of the first operational amplifier comprising a 50 K linear potentiometer having a variable resistive capacity to variabley adjust gain of the audio signal and establish low impedance at said output of first operational amplifier as in regard to claim 12. However, official notice is taken that the concept of having a feedback with variable resistor is commonly known in the art, thus it would have been obvious for one of the ordinary skill in

Art Unit: 2615

the art to have modified the combined teaching of Scholz et al. and Pritchard as a whole, for the purpose of generating adjusted gain signals.

The "833 claims 1-2,4-5,6-7,10-11 and 12,15-16 are simply broader recitations of the same inventions claimed in "993 claims 1-2,3-4,6-9 and 11,12,14.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1,3-6,8,10-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Scholz et al. ("4,489,439) and Pritchard (5,802,182) and Telefrus (6,370,039 B1).

Art Unit: 2615

Re claim 1, Scholz et al. disclose of an electronic circuit for adding reverberation effects to an audio signal produced from an external high impedance source and passing the reverberated audio signal at a predetermined impedance for input into an external sound device (fig.1-2; col.6 line 30-35), said electronic circuit comprising, in combination: a pre-amplifier/driver circuit having a first operational amplifier coupled to a second operational amplifier, each operational amplifier having inverting and non-inverting inputs and an output(fig.2(102B; 105A)/with inverting and non-inverting inputs), and one of the output comprising a negative feedback loop coupled to said inverting input and shunted to ground for setting a predetermined gain at each of said outputs ((fig.2 (R134) to input)), said input of first operational amplifier having an input for receiving therethrough the audio signal produced from the external high impedance source (fig.4(203)).

But, scholz et al. fail to disclose of a spring reverberation device having an input coupled to said output of second operational amplifier and an output for passage of a reverberated, low impedance signal. But, Pritchard discloses of a system wherein a spring reverberation device having an input coupled to said output of second operational amplifier and an output for passage of a reverberated, low impedance signal (fig.7(56); fig.8) for the purpose of creating distortion enhanced signals. Thus, taking the combined teaching of Scholz et al. and Pritchard as a whole, it would have been obvious for

Art Unit: 2615

one of the ordinary skill in the art to modify Scholz et al. by incorporating the spring reverberation device having an input coupled to said output of second operational amplifier and an output for passage of a reverberated, low impedance signal for the purpose of creating distortion enhanced signals.

The combined teaching of Scholz et al. and Pritchard as a whole, further teach of the recovery amplifier circuit comprising a single operational amplifier having an input for receiving the reverberated, low impedance signal and a input shunted to ground and an output comprising a negative feedback loop coupled to said input for setting the reverberated, low impedance signal at a predetermined gain and impedance for input into the external sound device (fig.2 (105)).

While, the combined teaching of Scholz et al. and Pritchard as a whole, they fail to teach of the configuration wherein the each of said outputs comprising a negative feedback loop coupled to said inverting input and the further configuration of single operational amplifier with inverting input and non-inverting input shunted to ground and the single operational amplifier with feedback loop coupled to the inverting input. But, Scholz et al. disclose of the exact identical circuit configurations wherein only the polarity of the amplifiers are changed. But, changing the polarity would not have produced an unexpected result for the invention, thus it would have

Art Unit: 2615

been obvious for one of the ordinary skill in the art, to have modified Scholz et al. by incorporating the changing in the polarity connections of the amplifiers for the purpose of enhancing sound signals effect.

The combined teaching of Scholz et al. and Pritchard as a whole, as a whole teach of a power DC supply circuit for powering said preamplifier/driver and recovery amplifier sections of said reverberation effects circuit (fig.4 (6V DC)). However, the combined teaching of Scholz et al. and Pritchard as a whole, fail to disclose of the further limitation wherein the power supply having means for switching between a dc volt source and an ac volt source. But, Telefus disclose of the limitation of having a power supply with means for alternating or switching between dc volt and ac volt ((col.1 line 55-65; col.2; fig. 8,11) for purpose of reducing component count and ease of design. Thus, taking the combine teaching of Scholz et al. and Pritchard and now Telefus as a whole, it would have been obvious for one of the ordinary skill in the art to modify Scholz et al. and Pritchard as a whole, to incorporate the power supply with means for alternating or switching between dc volt and ac volt for reducing component count and ease of design.

But, the combine teaching of Scholz et al. and Pritchard and now Telefus as a whole, fail to disclose of the operational amplifier of having an input jack, but official notice is taken the limitation of

Art Unit: 2615

having an amplifier with an input jack is commonly known in the art, thus it would have been obvious for one of the ordinary skill in the art to have modified Scholz et al. and Pritchard and now Telefus as a whole, by incorporating the input jack with the operational amplifier for the purpose of providing a connector socket for inserting such audio signal.

Re claim 3, the electronic circuit as set forth in claim 1, wherein said non-inverting input of first operational amplifier comprises a switch for controlling the audio signal input (col.2 line 27-32; fig.1,4 (201)), and a path to ground comprising resistive capacity for maintaining an impedance level into said first operational amplifier and keeping the external high impedance source from being loaded (fig.4 (23,IC202, by be grounded).

Re claim 4, the electronic circuit as set forth in claim 1, wherein said negative feedback loop of said first operational amplifier comprises a resistor (fig.2(102),R134) however, the combined teaching of Scholz et al. and Pritchard and Telefus as a whole, fail to disclose of the specific limitation of the resistor being variable with a 50K linear potentiometer having variable resistive capacity to variably adjust gain of the signal and impedance at said output of

Art Unit: 2615

said first operational amplifier prior to being fed into the external device. However, official notice is taken that the concept of having a feedback with variable resistor is commonly known in the art, thus it would have been obvious for one of the ordinary skill in the art to have modified the combined teaching of Scholz et al. and Pritchard as a whole, by incorporating the having a feedback with variable resistor for generating adjusted gain signals.

Re claim 5, the electronic circuit as set forth in claim 1, wherein said negative feedback loop of single operational amplifier comprises a resistor (fig.2 (R144)), But, the combined teaching of Scholz et al. and Pritchard and Telefus as a whole, fail to disclose of the having a 100k linear potentiometer having variable resistive capacity to variably adjust the audio signal's gain and impedance at said output of single amplifier prior to feeding to the external device. However, official notice is taken that the concept of having a feedback with variable resistor is commonly known in the art, thus it would have been obvious for one of the ordinary skill in the art to have modified the combined teaching of Scholz et al. and Pritchard as a whole, by incorporating the having a feedback with variable resistor for generating adjusted gain signals.

Art Unit: 2615

Re claim 6, the electronic circuit as set forth in claim 1, wherein said output of said first operational amplifier is coupled to said non-inverting input of said second operational amplifier, having a filter for blocking passage of dc signals while allowing passage of the audio signal into said second operational amplifier (fig.2/op am interconnected with filter form with capacitor), said negative feedback loop of said second operational amplifier comprising a resistor arrangement for stabilizing said feedback loop and restoring phase margin to said second operational amplifier (fig.2, with (105)), But, the combined teaching of Scholz et al. and Pritchard as a whole, fail to disclose of the limitation wherein the feedback loop comprising the resistor/capacitor arrangement, However, that limitation of having a feedback op am amplifier in a resistor and capacitor arrangement is commonly known in the art, thus official notice is taken it would have been obvious for one of the ordinary skill in the art to have incorporated such a feedback loop with a resistor/capacitor arrangement for stabilizing the circuit.

Re claim 8, the electronic circuit as set forth in claim 1, wherein said reverberation device comprises a spring configuration (Pritchar, fig.7(56), fig.8); But, the combined teaching of Scholz et al. and Telefus and Pritchard as a whole, fail to disclose of the specific limitation wherein the spring configuration comprising a 3-spring

Art Unit: 2615

configuration operable at an input impedance of 800 ohms and an output impedance of 2575 ohms. However, official notice is taken, that having a manufacture spring being of the specification of a 3-spring configuration operable at an input impedance of 800 ohms and an output impedance of 2575 ohms is commonly known, in the art, thus it would have been obvious for one of the ordinary skill in the art, to have modified the combined teaching of Scholz et al. and Pritchard as a whole, by replacing the spring with the specific being of a 3-spring configuration operable at an input impedance of 800 ohms and an output impedance of 2575 ohms for generating spring reverberating effect.

RE claim 10, the electronic circuit as set forth in claim 1, wherein said inverting input of second operational amplifier comprises a capacitor for filtering a predetermind amount of frequency and limit exten of feedback to occcur (fig.2 (C119)), However, Scholz et al. fail to disclose of the said inverting input of second operational amplifier comprises a resistor/capacitor arrangement and limiting the extent of high frequency feedback at said inverting input of second operational amplifier particularly occurring upon said 50K linear potentiometer being set at a high resistive level. But, official notice is taken that the concept of having the arrangement of resistor/capacitor arrangement as a filter for limiting the extent of high frequency is commonly known in the art, thus it would have been

Art Unit: 2615

obvious for one of the ordinary skill in the art to have modified the combined teaching of Scholz et al. and Pritchard and now Telefus as a whole, by incorporating the having the arrangement of resistor/capacitor arrangement as a filter for limiting the extent of high frequency for purpose of properly increasing the gain signal.

Re claim 11, Scholz et al. disclose of a method for adding reverberation effects to an audio signal produced from an external high impedance device and passing the reverberated audio signal to an external sound device at a predetermined impedance (fig.1-4; col. 6 line 30-35), said method comprising the steps of: sending the audio signal into an input of a first operational amplifier having an inverting input shunted to ground (fig.2 (102)) and an output comprising a negative feedback loop coupled to said inverting input for setting said output (fig.2 (102) wt feedback) and at a predetermined gain and impedance prior to being passed into a input of a second operational amplifier having an inverting input shunted to ground and a low impedance (fig.2 (102)-grounded).

However, Scholz et al. fail to disclose of the high current output for input into a spring reverberation device having an output for passing therethrough a reverberated, low impedance signal. But, Prichard disclose of a system wherein the low impedance and high current output for input into the reverberation device having an

Art Unit: 2615

output for passing therefore a reverberation signal (fig.7(56); fig.8) for the purpose of creating distortion enhanced signals. Thus, taking the combined teaching of Scholz et al. and now Pritchard as a whole, it would have been obvious for one of the ordinary skill in the art to modify Scholz et al. by incorporating the low impedance and high current output for input into the reverberation device having an output for passing therefore a reverberation signal for the purpose of creating distortion enhanced signals and said negative feedback loop of first operational amplifier comprising a 50K linear potentiometer having variable resistive capacity to variably adjust gain of the audio signal and establish low impedance at said output of first operational amplifier (see claim 4 rejection explaination) and passing the reverberated, low impedance signal into an inverting input of a single operational amplifier having a input shunted to ground and an output having a negative feedback loop coupled to said inverting input (fig.2/ single amplifier wt grounded).

The combined teaching of Scholz et al. and now Pritchard as a whole, teach of the said negative feedback loop of single operational amplifier comprising a 100K linear potentiometer having variable resistive capacity to variably adjust the audio signal's gain and establish a low impedance at said output of single operational amplifier prior to being fed into the external sound device (see claim 5 rejections explaination).

Art Unit: 2615

The combined teaching of Scholz et al. and Pritchard as a whole, as a whole teach of a power DC supply circuit for powering said preamplifier/driver and recovery amplifier sections of said reverberation effects circuit (fig.4 (6V DC)). However, the combined teaching of Scholz et al. and Pritchard as a whole, fail to disclose of the further limitation wherein the power supply having means for switching between a dc volt source and an ac volt source. But, Telefus disclose of the limitation of having a power supply with means for alternating or switching between dc volt and ac volt ((col.1 line 55-65; col.2; fig.8,11) for purpose of reducing component count and ease of design. Thus, taking the combine teaching of Scholz et al. and Pritchard and now Telefus as a whole, it would have been obvious for one of the ordinary skill in the art to modify Scholz et al. and Pritchard as a whole, to incorporate the power supply with means for alternating or switching between dc volt and ac volt for reducing component count and ease of design.

While, the combined teaching of Scholz et al. and Pritchard as a whole, they fail to teach of the configuration the sending signal to non-inverting input and impedance being passed to a non-inverting input and the further configuration of single operational amplifier with non-inverting input shunted to ground. But, Scholz et al. disclose of the exact identical circuit configurations wherein only the polarity of the amplifiers are changed. But, changing the polarity

Art Unit: 2615

would not have produced an unexpected result for the invention, thus it would have been obvious for one of the ordinary skill in the art, to have modified Scholz et al. by incorporating the changing in the polarity connections of the amplifiers for the purpose of enhancing sound signals effect.

Re claim 12, the method as set forth in claim 11, wherein said negative feedback loop of second operational amplifier comprises a resistor as in (fig.2 (R136)) for stabilizing said feedback loop and restoring phase margin to said second operational amplifier, However, the combined teaching of Scholz et al. and Pritchard and Telefus as a whole, fail to teach of the Resistor/capacitor arrangement. However, official notice is taken that the limitation of having a feedback op am amplifier in a resistor and capacitor arrangement is commonly known in the art, thus it would have been obvious for one of the ordinary skill in the art to have incorporated such a feedback loop with a resistor/capacitor arrangement for stabilizing the circuit.

Re claim 13-15 has been analyzed and rejected with respect to claim 10,3,8.

Art Unit: 2615

3. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Scholz et al. ("4,489,439) and Pritchard (5,802,182) and Telefrus (6,370,039 B1) and further in view of Bacon (US 2004/0190727).

Re claim 2, the electronic circuit as set forth in claim 1,

However, the combined teaching of Scholz et al. and Pritchard and

telefus as a whole, fail to disclose of the wherein the input

comprises a reverberation effects bypass for maintaining the integrity

and impedance of the audio signal produced by the circuit for direct

input into the external sound device. However, Bacon disclose of a

system wherein He disclose of similar concept of an effect bypass

switch for direct input into a sound device (fig.1 (50,56) for

obtaining of a better sound reproduction. Thus, taking the combined

teaching of Scholz et al. and Pritchard and telefus and Bacon as a

whole, it would have been obvious for one of the ordinary skill in the

art to modify Scholz et al. and Pritchard and telefus as a whole, by

incorporating such a of an effect bypass switch for direct input into

a sound device for obtaining of a better sound reproduction

3. Claims 7, 6-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Scholz et al. (4,489,439) and Pritchard (US 5,802,182) and Telefrus (6,370,039 B1) and further in view of applicant's (Kit assembly instruction).

Art Unit: 2615

Re claim 7, the electronic circuit as set forth in claim 1, wherein said power supply circuit comprises a transformer (Telefus, fig. 4,6), However the combined teaching of Scholz et al. and Pritchard and Telefus as a whole, fail to disclose of the specific limitation of lowering an outside voltage source from 120 volts ac to 12 volts ac prior to passing into a rectifying portion for converting the voltage source from ac to dc, said power supply further comprising a pair of adjustable voltage regulators operably establishing power outputs of .+-.9 volts for input into a relay having switching capabilities with a pair of 9 volt batteries coupled thereto and an output coupled to said first and second and single operational amplifiers. However, (Kit assembly copy) disclose the similar concept of lowering voltage form a current source ac volt prior to passing into a rectifying portion for the converting of ac to dc and the power supply comprising adjustable regulator at see (fig.3) for switch between AC to DC power source. Thus with the combined teaching of Scholz et al. and Pritchard and Telefus and now (applicant's kit assembly copy ) as a whole, it would have been obvious for one of the ordinary skill in the art to modify the combined teaching of of Scholz et al. and Pritchard and Telefus as a whole, to incorporate the lowering voltage form a current source ac volt prior to passing into a rectifying portion for the converting of ac to dc and the power supply comprising adjustable regulator at see for switch between AC to DC power source.

Art Unit: 2615

Re claim 16, has been analyzed and rejected with respect to claims 11 and 7 as a whole.

Re claim 19, the electronic circuit as set forth in claim 17, wherein said output from said single operational amplifier comprises a path to ground having resistive capacity to reinforce the impedance of the signal from said single operational amplifier to match the impedance with that of the external sound device (fig. 2(105 B). However, the combined teaching of Scholz et al. and Pritchard and now Telefus as a whole, fail to teach of the capacitive capacity to filter voltage spikes prior to passing the signal to the external sound device. But, official notice is taken having the operational amplifier output arrange in such the capacitive capacity to filter voltage spikes prior to passing the signal to the external sound device is commonly known, in the art, thus taking the combined teaching of Scholz et al. and Pritchard and now Telefus as a whole, it would have been obvious for one of the ordinary skill in the art to have incorporated the the capacitive capacity to filter voltage spikes prior to passing the signal to the external sound device for providing proper voltage to the external signal.

Art Unit: 2615

Re claims 17,20 have been analyzed and rejected with respect to claims 8,3 respectively.

Re claim 18, the electronic circuit as set forth in claim 16, wherein said output of reverberation device comprises a resistor/capacitor arrangement substantially serving as means for rolling off high frequency gain from the reverberated, low impedance signal prior to being passed into said inverting input of single operational amplifier (Pritchard, fig.7 (61,62)).

Re claim 19, the electronic circuit as set forth in claim 16, wherein said output from said single operational amplifier comprises a path to ground having resistive capacity to reinforce the impedance of the signal from said single operational amplifier to match the impedance with that of the external sound device (fig. 2(105 B) wt R144), However, the combined teaching of Scholz et al. and Pritchard and Telefus as a whole, fail to teach of the capacitor to filter voltage spikes prior to passing the signal to the external sound device. But, official notice is taken having the operational amplifier output arrange in such the capacitor to filter voltage spikes prior to passing the signal to the external sound device is commonly known, in the art, thus taking the combined teaching of Scholz et al. and Pritchard and now Telefus as a whole, it would have been obvious for one of the ordinary skill in the art to have

Art Unit: 2615

incorporated the capacitor to filter voltage spikes prior to passing the signal to the external sound device for providing proper voltage to the external signal.

3. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Scholz et al. (4,489,439) and Pritchard (US 5,802,182) and Telefrus (6,370,039 B1) and further in view of Ellis et al. (4,158,813).

Re claim 9, the electronic circuit as set forth in claim 1, wherein said recovery amplifier section comprises an output to external sound device (fig.2 (105B out)). But, the combined teaching of Scholz et al. and Pritchard and Telefus as a whole, as a whole, fail to disclose of the auxiliary jack fitted with a switch for clamping a signal to ground to intermittently control the sound. But, Ellis disclose a system wherein the same concept of having a jack fitted with a switch for clamping the signals to ground to intermittently control the signal (fig.2, col.4 line 40-55) for the purpose of determining selecting the operation of the receiver. Thus,

Art Unit: 2615

taking the combined teaching of Scholz et al. and Pritchard and telefus and now Ellis et al. as a whole, it would have been obvious for one of the ordinary skill in the art to modify the combined teaching of Scholz et al. and Pritchard as a whole, by incorporating the jack fitted with a switch for clamping the signals to ground to intermittently control the signal for the purpose of determining selecting the operation of the receiver.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Disler Paul whose telephone number is 571-270-1187. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chin Vivian can be reached on 571-272-7848. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Page 21

Application/Control Number: 10/776,393

Art Unit: 2615

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private

PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you

would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the

automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DP

WIVIAN CHIN

SUPERVISCAY PATTAIT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2200